



Modern research in physics education: A bibliometric study

Rahul Garg

Assistant Professor- Physics Modern Institute of Technology and Research Center Alwar Rajasthan India

Abstract

A publication trend in Physics Education by employing bibliometric analysis leads the researchers to describe current scientific movement. This paper tries to answer “What do Physics education scientists concentrate in their publications?” by analyzing the productivity and development of publications on the subject category of Physics Education in the period 1980–2013. The Web of Science databases in the research areas of “EDUCATION - EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH” was used to extract the publication trends. The study involves 1360 publications, including 840 articles, 503 proceedings paper, 22 reviews, 7 editorial material, 6 Book review, and one Biographical item. Number of publications with “Physical Education” in topic increased from 0.14 % (n = 2) in 1980 to 16.54 % (n = 225) in 2011. Total number of receiving citations is 8071, with approximately citations per papers of 5.93. The results show the publication and citations in Physic Education has increased dramatically while the Malaysian share is well ranked.

Keywords: physics education, bibliometrics, citation analysis, performance evaluation

1. Introduction

Bibliometrics enables researchers to explore the impact of specific field (Uzun, 1996) [12]. Bibliometrics is defined as “the study of the quantitative aspects of the production, dissemination, and use of published information” (Moed & Glänzel, 2004, p. 343) [45]. Bibliometrics techniques have been used primarily by information scientists to study the growth and distribution of scientific article (Tsai, 2011) [56]. Bibliometrics data provided by the monopolist data procedure Web of Science (formerly known as ISI - Institute for Scientific Information), are used for ranking analysis even by non-expert (Weingart, 2005) [26]. Eugene Garfield (1979) [48] invention of the ISI is a major breakthrough which enabled statistically analyses of the scientific literature on a very large scale (Moed & Glänzel, 2004) [38]. It marks the power of bibliometrics within the studies of science. The bibliometric analysis involves finding trends (Huffman, Baldrige, Bloomfield, Colantonio, Prabhakaran, Ajay, Suh, Lewison, & Prabhakaran, 2013; Menendez-Manjon, Moldenhauer, Wagener, & Barcikowski, 2011; Sooryamoorthy, 2010) [24, 26, 12], correlation and relationship in the author keyword (Chiu & Ho, 2007; Mao, Wang, & Ho, 2010) [52, 61], Keywords Plus® indexed by ISI (Garfield & Sher, 1993; Tan, Fu, & Ho, 2014; Wang, Wang, Zhang, Cai, & Sun, 2013) [64, 56, 42], source titles (Li, Ding, Feng, Wang, & Ho, 2009; Yin, 2013) [20, 23], collaborations with international authors (Jacso, 2012; Zyoud, Al-Jabi, Sweileh, & Awang, 2014) [58, 14], citation analyses (Ho, 2014), language (Diekhoff, Schlattmann, & Dewey, 2013) [39], and many more. Bibliometrics is a specialized and often complex field of study, and far transcends a simple counting of citations (Craig, Plume, McVeigh, Pringle & Amin, 2007) [48]. Citations are now widely accepted as a measurement of recognition. The number of citations that an article received measures its impact on a specific field (Lai, Darius, & Lerut, 2012) [28]. Citation analysis is one of the most important tools to evaluate research performance (Bornmann, Schier, Marx, & Daniel, 2012) [17]. Citation

indicator is important for scientists and universities in all over the world (Farhadi, Salehi, Yunus, Aghaei Chadegani, Farhadi, Fooladi, & Ale Ebrahim, 2013) [25]. Bodin (2012) [51] defines physics education research as research about how we learn, teach, understand, and use physics. Physics Education Research (PER) is the driving force to the way introductory physics is being taught in secondary schools, colleges, and universities (André Tiberghien, Jossem, & Barojas, 1998; Yeo & Treagust, 2000) [4, 5]. Physics education research tends to focus on problems associated with the teaching of physics (Heron & Meltzer, 2005) [47]. Physics education research is an interdisciplinary research area and combines education research that is influenced by social studies, psychology, and physics that is a traditional academic subject. Therefore physics education can be approached in many ways depending on the applications (Bodin, 2012). Physic educations included a wide range of studies from research on general culture (Kapitsa, 1982) [32], “hands-on” exhibits (Read, 1989) [48], gender issues (Stewart, 1998) [23], classroom- based innovation (Tobias, 2000), multimedia (Wagner, Altherr, Eckert, & Jodl, 2003) [48], IT-based (Akizo, 2004) [29], e-Learning (Stoeva & Cvetkov, 2005), language (Michinel, 2006) [30], used images (Bulbul, 2007), computational problem solving (Landau, 2007), gesture analysis (Scherr, 2008) [34], the use of conceptual diagrams (Martins, Verdeaux, & de Sousa, 2009), quality (Aneta, 2010) [64], 3D Virtual Laboratory (Jeong, Park, Kim, Oh, & Yoo, 2011) [19], modeling (Uhdén, Karam, Pietrocola, & Pospiech, 2012) [10], and concept maps (Martinez, Perez, Suero, & Pardo, 2013) [37] to mathematical models (Huang & Fang, 2013) [25].

A major theme at several national and international conferences has been selected on physics education research (McDermott & Redish, 1999) [13]. Vollmer (2003) [14] has done a research among 22 European countries experts on physics education research and found that interest in physics education research has increased. In particular, the last two decades have seen the growth of an international community

engaged in research in physics education (McDermott & Redish, 1999) ^[48]. There are several groups that conduct research in physics education and there is a substantial literature (McDermott, 2001) ^[57]. Most early physics education research focused on student ability to apply the concepts covered in typical introductory university physics courses (Heron & Meltzer, 2005; van Aalst, 2000) ^[7, 8].

In summary, limited bibliometrics study have been investigated on the publication patterns in the education, such as (Cheng, Wang, Morch, Chen, Kinshuk, & Spector, 2014; Chiang, Kuo, & Yang, 2010; Gomez-Garcia, Ramiro, Ariza, & Granados, 2012; Marshakova-Shaikevich, 2005; Piotrowski, 2013) ^[26, 57]. Additionally, two of them concentrate on physics education (Anduckia, Gomez, & Gomez, 2000; Jacobs & Ingwersen, 2000) ^[29, 63] which were published more than a decade ago. So, a comprehensive and up-to-date bibliometrics study on Physics education is needed. This paper reports on the used a bibliometric approach to, analyze the productivity and development of publications on the subject category of Physics Education in the period 1980–2013.

Materials and methods

Most bibliometrics studies have used Web of Science (WoS) to obtain citation data (Bakri & Willett, 2011) ^[46]. Since WoS is the oldest citation database, it has strong coverage with bibliometrics data which goes back to 1900 (Aghaei Chadegani, Salehi, Yunus, Farhadi, Fooladi, Farhadi, & Ale Ebrahim, 2013) ^[41]. The Web of Sciences Core Collection (as a part of WoS) is a leading database with high quality and multidisciplinary research information, by the subscribed from the Institute of Scientific Information (ISI), also known as Thomson Reuters.

To draw our sample and following prior literature, we first conducted a comprehensive search to collect the data from WoS database. This database provides the information to examine the publication trends in Physics Education since 1980 until 25th December 2013. Science Citation Index Expanded, Social Sciences Citation Index and Arts & Humanities Citation Index, were searched for “Physics Education*” (Physics Education OR Physics Educational and etc.) in the topic (3,770 papers). The search then refined by WoS category “Education Educational Research” (1,360 papers). For each paper, all bibliometric data, especially the number of references and the number of times the paper was cited during the interval between the year of publication and the year 2013, were collected.

In order to find the top cited papers in the field of Physics Education, citations analysis is used. Citation statistics produced by shorter than three years’ time frame may not be sufficiently stable (Adams, 2005; UZUN, 2006) because papers appearing in the Web of Science databases over the

last few years have not had enough time to accumulate a stable number of citations (Webster, Jonason, & Schember, 2009). Therefore, the time span limited to 1980 until 25 December 2010, yielding a subsample of 813 publications (60% of the original sample). Publications with zero citation were removed. After drawing the final sample, we designed our measurement of the number of citations that studies in our sample had received. The key issue in measuring the citations is that the time elapsed since publication significantly impacts the number of citations that articles receive (Eshraghi, Osman, Gholizadeh, Ali, & Shadgan, 2013) ^[15]. To avoid this bias, we designed and calculated a citation index for each study as the average number of citations per year. Then, we ranked the studies in our sample based on this index to identify top 10 papers with highest citation per year.

In order to answer the question “What do Physics education scientists concentrate in their publications?”, the Keyword Plus® and author keywords were extracted from 1360 papers between 1980–2013. Author Keyword are words or phrases provided by the author to justly provide idea of the article while Keywords Plus® are words or phrases that frequently appear in the titles of an article's references, but do not necessarily appear in the title of the article itself. Keywords Plus®, retrieved from the Thomson Reuters auto indexing system, may be present for articles that have no author keywords, or may include important terms not listed among the title, abstract, or author keywords (Ale Ebrahim, 2013) ^[16].

Results and discussions

The aim of conducting bibliometric studies is a statistical analysis of written publications to provide quantitative evaluations. Information produced by bibliometric studies can be exploited as a source to evaluate the performance of sub-fields in a research domain and to adjust science policies with regard to funding allocations and comparing scientific input and output (Debackere & Glanzel, 2004) ^[17].

Analysis of author keywords and Keyword Plus®

The Keywords Plus® analyses for data collected from 1980-2013 were extracted and the percentage range were computed (Table 1). Physics was largely used as the Keywords Plus® (n = 4562) regardless of year category. Among the top 20 Keywords Plus® (n=1726, 37.83% of total), two were used in the titles of the published papers. The words that emerged to be new were the Knowledge, Science-Education, and Achievement. The Achievement, Conceptual Change, Model(S), and Instruction seemed to be also a keyword that has increased quite a bit. The table indicates that all the importance of Keywords Plus® for future research.

Table 1: Top 20 Keywords Plus®

NO.	Keyword	Frequency	Percentage of Total
1	Physics	295	6.47%
2	Education	214	4.69%
3	Science	196	4.30%
4	Students	144	3.16%
5	Knowledge	143	3.13%
6	Science-education	91	1.99%
7	Achievement	68	1.49%
8	Conceptual change	67	1.47%
9	Model(s)	60	1.32%

10	Instruction	59	1.29%
11	Classroom	52	1.14%
12	Conceptions	48	1.05%
13	Teachers	42	0.92%
14	Beliefs	37	0.81%
15	Chemistry	37	0.81%
16	Misconceptions	37	0.81%
17	Performance	37	0.81%
18	Attitudes	35	0.77%
19	Curriculum	34	0.75%
20	Views	30	0.66%

The Author Keywords analysis for data collected is illustrated in Table 2. Top 20 author keywords (589, 14.86% of total) are extracted from 3963 Author Keywords. Consequently, a comparison between Top ten Keywords Plus® and Author Keyword is illustrated in Table 3. There is a considerable difference in the similarity of the Author Keyword and Keywords Plus®. Author Keywords are keywords that are given by the author for each article. On the other hand, the Keywords Plus® is keyword given by the Web of Science for each article. Table 3 lays out the top ten Keywords Plus® and Author Keywords with the frequency in the published article and its rank in the Keywords Plus®. Out of the top ten author keyword only

50% are in the top ten Keywords Plus®. Physics education which is ranked one (n = 295) in the authors keyword fall in n = 118, while Problem solving, Physics education research and Secondary education are not in to 20 Keywords Plus®. The Keywords Plus® looking backward and measure the high frequency phrases in the title of published papers, while the author keyword represent author's current ideas about the paper. So, the order of the authors' keywords which are Physics education, Physics, Science education, Physics education research, Education, Gender, Conceptual change, Misconceptions, and Secondary education are guidelines for the future research topics.

Table 2: Top 20 Keywords

No.	Keywords	Frequency	Percentage of Total
1	Physics education	118	2.98%
2	Physics	85	2.14%
3	Science education	79	1.99%
4	Physics Education research	47	1.19%
5	Education	31	0.78%
6	Gender	26	0.66%
7	Conceptual change	25	0.63%
8	Misconceptions	18	0.45%
9	Secondary education	18	0.45%
10	Problem solving	17	0.43%
11	Learning	14	0.35%
12	Chemistry	13	0.33%
13	Higher education	13	0.33%
14	Teacher education	13	0.33%
15	Teaching	13	0.33%
16	Technology	13	0.33%
17	Women in physics	12	0.30%
18	Constructivism	12	0.30%
19	Science	11	0.28%
20	Simulations	11	0.28%

Table 3: Comparison of Top ten Keywords Plus® and Author Keyword

Keywords Plus®	N	R	Authors Keyword	N	R
Physics	295	1	Physics education	118	1, 2
Education	214	2	Physics	85	1
Science	196	3	Science education	79	6
Students	144	4	Physics education research	47	NIL
Knowledge	143	5	Education	31	2
Science-education	91	6	Gender	26	41
Achievement	68	7	Conceptual change	25	8
Conceptual change	67	8	Misconceptions	18	16
Model(s)	60	8	Secondary education	18	NIL
Instruction	59	10	Problem solving	17	87

Characteristics of publication output

Over the period of 1980 to 2013, there has been an increase in the number of published paper related to Physics

Education, despite the fluctuations seen in Figure 1. In 1980, the numbers of publications were two and the number of publication by 25 December 2013 has risen up to 114. The highest number of publication is in the year of 2011 which was 225. In a wider perspective, the hike in the number of publication begins at 2007, where it leaped 61% from year 2006.

The results were refined by WoS category "Education Educational Research" (1,360 papers, 100%). However, more than 30% of the articles in the physics education research field were published under the Education Scientific Disciplines and Computer Science Interdisciplinary Applications category. The other category ranges from a percentage of 3% - 1% as depicted in Table 4. The categories with lesser than 1% include Computer Science Theory Methods, Management, Computer Science Artificial Intelligence, Engineering Electrical Electronic, Social

Sciences Interdisciplinary, Business, Computer Science Multidisciplinary, and Engineering Mechanical.
 Software Engineering, Economics, Materials Science




Fig 1: Physics Education publication per year since 1980

Table 4: Web of Science Categories

No	Web of Science Categories	Records	Percentage of 1360
1	Education educational research	1360	100
2	Education scientific disciplines	318	23.3
3	Computer science interdisciplinary applications	107	7.8
4	Computer science information systems	42	3.0
5	History philosophy of science	39	2.8
6	Physics applied	31	2.2
7	Psychology educational	28	2.0
8	Physics multidisciplinary	27	1.9
9	Women s studies	27	1.9
10	Engineering multidisciplinary	14	1.0
11	Information science library science	14	1.0

From 1980 to 2013, researchers from top 10 countries published around 70% of total publications in the Physics Education (Figure 2). USA followed by Turkey, Spain and

England published around 50% of the publications. Malaysia with 11 publications (0.8%) and Turkey are two developing countries which ranked 2 and 24 respectively.




Fig 2: Top 10 active countries on Physics Education publications

Highly published paper and journal

As discussed in the methodology the time span for highly cited papers is limited from 1980 to 25 December 2010. Because of, citation statistics produced by shorter than three

years' time frame may not be sufficiently stable (Adams, 2005; UZUN, 2006). The journal in which papers are published and the year of publication are investigated to determine the impact on the citation per year. Table 5 shows

a list of the top 10 highly cited papers in the Physics Education research. The highest cited article is by (Azar, 2010) with 81 citation per year under the category of

Education & Educational Research; Education, Scientific Disciplines, followed by (Kurnaz & Calik, 2009) under the same categories.

Table 5: List of top 10 papers with highest citation per year

Authors	Title	Citation per year
Azar, A	A comparison of the effects of two physics laboratory applications with different approaches on student physics achievement	81
Kurnaz, MA; Calik, M	A thematic review of 'energy' teaching studies: focuses, needs, methods, general knowledge claims and implications	32
Hazari, Z; Sonnert, G; Sadler, PM; Shanahan, MC	Connecting High School Physics Experiences, Outcome Expectations, Physics Identity, and Physics Career Choice: A Gender Study	31
Karamustafaoglu, O	Active learning strategies in physics teaching	27
Trundle, KC; Bell, RL	The use of a computer simulation to promote conceptual, change: A quasi-experimental study	22
Pea, RD	The social and technological dimensions of scaffolding and related theoretical concepts for learning, education, and human activity	21
Taber, KS; Garcia-Franco, A	Learning Processes in Chemistry: Drawing Upon Cognitive Resources to Learn About the Particulate Structure of Matter	19
Brewe, E; Sawtelle, V; Kramer, LH; O'Brien, GE; Rodriguez, I; Pamela, P	Toward equity through participation in Modeling Instruction in introductory university physics	18
Crawford, BA	Learning to teach science as inquiry in the rough and tumble of practice	17.75
Maltese, AV; Tai, RH	Eyeballs in the Fridge: Sources of early interest in science	17

The top 10 highest published journal and the top 10 highest cited journals in the Physics Education field were analyzed and demonstrated in Table 6. The highest journal that published the Physics Education study is the International Journal of Science Education journal with 123 publications from year 1980 – 2010. Among the top 10 journals, 4 of

them were existed in the top 10 highest published journal list. The results show that the frequency of publications do not guarantee the quality which reflected by citations per year. The documents type of top cited papers where 11 Article, one Editorial Material and 4 Review papers.

Table 6 Top 10 highly published and highly cited Journals

Highly Published journal	NoP (n=1360)	Rank	Highly Cited	CPY	NoP (n=16)	Rank
International Journal of Science Education	123	1	Energy Education Science and Technology Part B-Social and Educational Studies	81, 32, 27.5	3	34
Journal of Research in Science Teaching	59	2	Journal of Research in Science Teaching	31, 17.75	2	2
Science Education Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research	43, 40	3, 4	Computers & Education Journal of the Learning Sciences	22, 21, 19, 14.40	1, 3	11, 26
Women in Physics Research in Science Education	27, 23	5, 6	Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research International Journal of Science Education	18, 17	2, 1	4 1
Teaching and Learning of Physics in Cultural Contexts Innovation and Creativity in Education Journal of Science Education and Technology	21, 19, 15	7, 8, 8	Review of Educational Research Journal of Computer Assisted Learning Learning and Instruction	15.78, 15, 13.67	1, 1, 1	54, 29, 40

Teaching and Teacher Education 8 10 Science Education 13.22 1 3

*NoP: Number of Publications; CPY: Citation Per Year

Conclusion

This paper is the first attempt to evaluate the publication trends in physics education as general theme and the research performance, by using bibliometrics methods. 1360 publications from WoS databases were analyzed. The top-ranking authors, articles, and publishing journals were introduced and analyzed, and were ranked by different indexes. The distribution of publications and the trend of publications over the years displayed an increasing trend of publication and revealed the USA as the highest performing country in terms of publishing articles on the subject of Physics Education. In addition, the study prove that the frequency of publications in a journal do not guarantee the quality of the papers which reflected by citations per year. For instance, according to the number of publications journal of "Energy Education Science and Technology part B-Social and educational studies" ranked 34. However, three highly cited papers with 81, 32, 27.5 citations per year, published in the journal. As the research on the Physics

Education field grow in interest, bibliometric analysis would assist researchers in identifying key elements and characterization of the Physics Education literature research. In the light of that, more efforts should be channeled in bibliometric studies in all fields.

References

- Adams J. Early citation counts correlate with accumulated impact. *Scientometrics*. 2005; 63(3):567-581. doi:10.1007/s11192-005-0228-9
- Aghaei Chadegani A, Salehi H, Yunus MM, Farhadi H, Fooladi M, Farhadi M, *et al*. A Comparison between Two Main Academic Literature Collections: Web of Science and Scopus Databases. *Asian Social Science*. 2013; 9(5):18-26. doi:10.5539/ass.v9n5p18.
- Akizo K. IT-based physics education in Japan. Singapore: World Scientific Publ Co Pte Ltd, 2004.
- Ale Ebrahim N. Enhancing Research Visibility and Improving Citations: Publication Marketing Tools.

- Research Tools in Education Series. 2013; 2(5):1-122. Retrieved from <http://works.bepress.com/aleebrahim/80/>
5. Andre'e Tiberghien, Jossem EL, Barojas J. Connecting Research in Physics Education with Teacher Education, 1998.
 6. Anduckia JC, Gomez J, Gomez YJ. Bibliometric output from Colombian researchers with approved projects by Colciencias between 1983 and 1994. *Scientometrics*. 2000; 48(1):3-25. doi:10.1023/a:1005680900632
 7. Aneta B. Forms of implementing of the quality in preuniversity education at the Physics Lessons. In H. Uzunboylu (Ed.), *Innovation and Creativity in Education*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Bv. 2010; 2:4189-4192.
 8. Azar A. A comparison of the effects of two physics laboratory applications with different approaches on student physics achievement. *Energy Education Science and Technology Part B-Social and Educational Studies*. 2010; 2(3-4):161-185.
 9. Bakri A, Willett P. Computer science research in Malaysia: a bibliometric analysis. *Aslib Proceedings*. 2011; 63(2-3), 321-335. doi:10.1108/00012531111135727
 10. Bodin M. Computational problem solving in university physics education: Students' beliefs, knowledge, and motivation. (PhD Doctoral thesis), Umeå University. Retrieved from, 2012. <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:umu:diva-53317>
 11. Bornmann L, Schier H, Marx W, Daniel HD. What factors determine citation counts of publications in chemistry besides their quality? *Journal of Informetrics*. 2012; 6(1):11. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2011.08.004>
 12. Bulbul MS. Using gif (Graphics interchange format) images in physics education. In S. Cetin & I. Hikmet (Eds.), *Six International Conference of the Balkan Physical Union*. Melville: Amer Inst Physics. 2007; 899:481-482.
 13. Cheng B, Wang MH, Morch AI, Chen NS, Kinshuk, Spector JM. Research on e-learning in the workplace 2000-2012: A bibliometric analysis of the literature. *Educational Research Review*. 2014; 11:56-72. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2014.01.001
 14. Chiang JK, Kuo CW, Yang YH. A Bibliometric Study of E-Learning Literature on SSCI Database. In X. P. Zhang, S. C. Zhong, Z. G. Pan, K. Wong, & R. W. Yun (Eds.), *Entertainment for Education: Digital Techniques and Systems*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2010; 6249:145-155.
 15. Chiu WT, Ho YS. Bibliometric analysis of tsunami research. *Scientometrics*, 2007; 73(1):3-17. doi:10.1007/s11192-005-1523-1
 16. Craig ID, Plume AM, McVeigh ME, Pringle J, Amin M. Do open access articles have greater citation impact?: A critical review of the literature. *Journal of Informetrics*. 2007; 1(3), 239-248. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2007.04.001>
 17. Debackere K, Glanzel W. Using a bibliometric approach to support research policy making: The case of the Flemish BOF-key. *Scientometrics*. 2004; 59(2):253-276. doi:10.1023/b:scie.0000018532.70146.02
 18. Diekhoff T, Schlattmann P, Dewey M. Impact of Article Language in Multi- Language Medical Journals- a Bibliometric Analysis of Self-Citations and Impact Factor. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8(10):8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076816
 19. Eshraghi A, Osman N, Gholizadeh H, Ali S, Shadgan B. 100 top-cited scientific papers in limb prosthetics. *Bio Medical Engineering On Line*. 2013; 12(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/1475-925X-12-119
 20. Farhadi H, Salehi H, Yunus MM, Aghaei Chadegani A, Farhadi M, Fooladi M, *et al.* Does it Matter Which Citation Tool is Used to Compare the h-index of a Group of Highly Cited Researchers? *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*. 2013; 7(4):198-202. doi:arXiv:1306.0727
 21. Garfield E, Merton RK. *Citation indexing: Its theory and application in science, technology, and humanities* (Vol. 8): Wiley New York, 1979.
 22. Garfield E, Sher IH. Keywords-Plus(TM) - Algorithmic Derivative Indexing. *Journal Of The American Society For Information Science*. 1993; 44(5):298-299. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-4571(199306)44:5<298::aid-asi5>3.0.co;2-a.
 23. Gomez-Garcia A, Ramiro MT, Ariza T, Granados MR. Bibliometric Study of Educacion XX1. *Educacion Xx1*. 2012; 15(1):17-41.
 24. Heron PRL, Meltzer DE. The future of physics education research: Intellectual challenges and practical concerns. *American Journal of Physics*. 2005; 73(5):390-394. doi:10.1119/1.1858480
 25. Ho, Y. S. (2014). Classic articles on social work field in Social Science Citation Index: a bibliometric analysis. *Scientometrics* 98(1), 137-155. doi:10.1007/s11192-013-1014-8
 26. Huang S, Fang N. Predicting student academic performance in an engineering dynamics course: A comparison of four types of predictive mathematical models. *Computers & Education*. 2013; 61:133-145. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2012.08.015
 27. Huffman MD, Baldridge A, Bloomfield GS, Colantonio LD, Prabhakaran P, Ajay VS, *et al.* Global Cardiovascular Research Output, Citations, and Collaborations: A Time-Trend, Bibliometric Analysis (1999-2008). *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8(12):7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083440
 28. Jacobs D, Ingwersen P. A bibliometric study of the publication patterns in the sciences of South African scholars 1981-96. *Scientometrics*. 2000; 47(1):75-93. doi:10.1023/a:1005617825947
 29. Jacso P. Google Scholar Metrics for Publications The software and content features of a new open access bibliometric service. *Online Information Review*. 2012; 36(4):604-619. doi:10.1108/14684521211254121
 30. Jeong JS, Park C, Kim M, Oh WK, Yoo KH. Development of a 3D Virtual Laboratory with Motion Sensor for Physics Education. In T. H. Kim, H. Adeli, R. J Robles, & M. Balitanas (Eds.), *Ubiquitous Computing and Multimedia Applications, Pt I* (Vol. 150, pp. 253-262). Berlin: Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2011.
 31. Kapitsa SP. Education in the Field Of Physics and General Culture. *Vestnik Akademii Nauk Sssr*. 1982; (4):85-89.
 32. Kurnaz MA, Calik M. A thematic review of 'energy' teaching studies: focuses, needs, methods, general

- knowledge claims and implications. *Energy Education Science and Technology Part B-Social and Educational Studies*. 2009; 1(1-2):1-26.
33. Lai Q, Darius T, Lerut J. The one hundred most frequently cited articles in the field of clinical liver transplantation. *Transplant International*. 2012; 25(6):e76-e77.
 34. Landau RH. Computational Physics Education; why, what and how. *Computer Physics Communications*. 2007; 177(1-2):191-194. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2007.02.040
 35. Li LL, Ding GH, Feng N, Wang MH, Ho YS. Global stem cell research trend: Bibliometric analysis as a tool for mapping of trends from 1991 to 2006. *Scientometrics*. 2009; 80(1):39-58. doi:10.1007/s11192-008-1939-5
 36. Mao N, Wang MH, Ho YS. A Bibliometric Study of the Trend in Articles Related to Risk Assessment Published in Science Citation Index. *Human And Ecological Risk Assessment*. 2010; 16(4):801-824. doi:10.1080/10807039.2010.501248
 37. Marshakova-Shaikovich I. Bibliometric maps of field of science. *Information Processing & Management*. 2005; 41(6):1534-1547. doi:10.1016/j.ipm.2005.03.027
 38. Martinez G, Perez AL, Suero MI, Pardo PJ. The Effectiveness of Concept Maps in Teaching Physics Concepts Applied to Engineering Education: Experimental Comparison of the Amount of Learning Achieved With and Without Concept Maps. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*. 2013; 22(2):204-214. doi:10.1007/s10956-012-9386-8
 39. Martins RLC, Verdeaux MDD, de Sousa C. The use of conceptual diagrams in the physics education in high school: a study in wave mechanics, acoustics and optics contents. *Revista Brasileira De Ensino De Fisica*, 2009, 31(3).
 40. McDermott LC. Oersted Medal Lecture 2001: Physics education research-The key to student learning". *American Journal of Physics*. 2001; 69(11):1127-1137. doi:10.1119/1.1389280 McDermott, L. C., & Redish, E. F. (1999). Resource letter: PER-1: Physics education research. *American Journal of Physics*, 67(9), 755-767. doi:10.1119/1.19122
 41. Menendez-Manjon A, Moldenhauer K, Wagener P, Barcikowski S. Nano-energy research trends: bibliometrical analysis of nanotechnology research in the energy sector. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2011; 13(9):3911-3922. doi:10.1007/s11051-011-0344-9
 42. Michinel JL. Language and other tendencies in investigation in physics education. *Revista Mexicana De Fisica*. 2006; 52(3):12-15.
 43. Moed HF, Glänzel W. *Handbook of Quantitative Science and Technology Research: The Use of Publication and Patent Statistics in Studies of S&T Systems*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
 44. Piotrowski C. Citation analysis for the modern instructor: An integrated review of emerging research. *Journal of Educators Online*, 2013, 10(2).
 45. Read AJ. Hands-on Exhibits in Physics education. *American Journal of Physics*. 1989; 57(5):393-394. doi:10.1119/1.16036
 46. Scherr RE. Gesture analysis for physics education researchers. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 2008, 4(1). doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.4.010101
 47. Sooryamoorthy R. Medical research in South Africa: a scientometric analysis of trends, patterns, productivity and partnership. *Scientometrics*. 2010; 84(3):863-885. doi:10.1007/s11192-010-0169-9
 48. Stewart M. Gender issues in physics education. *Educational Research*. 1998; 40(3):283-293. Stoeva, M, & Cvetkov, A. (2005). e-Learning system ERM for medical radiation physics education. *Medical Engineering & Physics*. 1998; 27(7):605-609. doi:10.1016/j.medengphy.2005.02.009
 49. Tan J, Fu HZ, Ho YS. A bibliometric analysis of research on proteomics in Science Citation Index Expanded. *Scientometrics*. 2014; 98(2):1473-1490. doi:10.1007/s11192-013-1125-2
 50. Tobias S. From innovation to change: Forging a physics education reform agenda for the 21st century. *American Journal of Physics*. 2000; 68(2):103-104. doi:10.1119/1.19378
 51. Tsai HH.R earch trends analysis by comparing data mining and customer relationship management through bibliometric methodology. *Scientometrics*. 2011; 87(3):425-450. doi:10.1007/s11192-011-0353-6
 52. Uhden O, Karam R, Pietrocola M, Pospiech G. Modelling Mathematical Reasoning in Physics Education. *Science & Education*. 2012; 21(4):485-506. doi:10.1007/s11191-011-9396-6
 53. Uzun A. A bibliometric analysis of physics publications from Middle Eastern countries. *Scientometrics*. 1996; 36(2):259-269. doi:10.1007/bf02017319
 54. UZUN A. Statistical relationship of some basic bibliometric indicators in scientometrics research. Paper presented at the International Workshop on Webometrics, Informetrics and Scientometrics & Seventh Collnet Meeting, Nancy (France), 2006. <http://eprints.rclis.org/7432/1/France1.pdf>
 55. Van Aalst J. An introduction to physics education research. *Canadian Journal of Physics*. 2000; 78(1):57-71.
 56. Vollmer M. Physics teacher training and research in physics education: results of an inquiry by the European Physical Society. *European Journal of Physics*. 2003; 24(2):131-147. doi:10.1088/0143-0807/24/2/354
 57. Wagner A, Altherr S, Eckert B, Jodl HJ. Multimedia in physics education: a video for the quantitative analysis of the Reynolds number. *European Journal of Physics*. 2003; 24(3):297-300. doi:10.1088/0143-0807/24/3/310
 58. Wang LH, Wang QH, Zhang X, Cai WW, Sun XH. A bibliometric analysis of anaerobic digestion for methane research during the period 1994-2011. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*. 2013; 15(1):1-8. doi:10.1007/s10163-012-0094-5.
 59. Webster GD, Jonason PK, Schember TO. Hot topics and popular papers in evolutionary psychology: Analyses of title words and citation counts in *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 1979-2008. *Evolutionary Psychology*. 2009; 7(3):348-362.
 60. Weingart P. Impact of bibliometrics upon the science system: Inadvertent consequences? *Scientometrics*. 2005; 62(1):117-131. doi:10.1007/s11192-005-0007-7
 61. Yeo S, Treagust D. Connecting research in physics education with teacher education. *Science Education*. 2000; 84(5):685-687. doi:10.1002/1098-237x(200009)

84:5<685::aid- sce9>3.0.co;2-7

62. Yin MS. Fifteen years of grey system theory research: A historical review and bibliometric analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2013; 40(7):2767-2775. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2012.11.002
63. Zyoud SH, Al-Jabi SW, Sweileh WM, Awang R. A bibliometric analysis of toxicology research productivity in Middle Eastern Arab countries during a 10-year period. (2003-2012). *Health Research Policy and Systems*. 2014; 12:13. doi:10.1186/1478-4505-12-4.